# **EXHIBIT 11**

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MARYLAND SHALL ISSUE, INC., et al.	
Plaintiffs	
v.	Civil Case No: 16-cv-03311 MJG
LAWRENCE HOGAN, et al.	
Defendants	

#### ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

TO:

LAWRENCE HOGAN, et al., Defendants

c/o Jennifer L. Katz, Esq. Robert A. Scott, Esq.

Office of the Attorney General

200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202

FROM:

Maryland Shall Issue, Plaintiff

c/o Cary J. Hansel HANSEL LAW, P.C. 2514 North Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21218

COMES NOW Plaintiff Maryland Shall Issue, by and through undersigned Counsel, and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, answers Defendant Lawrence Hogan's Interrogatories to Plaintiff Maryland Shall Issue.

### **NOTICE**

- 1. The information supplied in these Answers is not based solely on the knowledge of the executing party, but includes the knowledge of the party, agents, representatives, and attorneys, unless privileged.
- 2. The word usage and sentence structure may be that of the attorney assisting in the preparation of the Answers and thus does not purport to be the exact language of the party.
- 3. These Answers furnish knowledge, facts, and information presently available and, if subsequent or different information is obtained prior to trial, as requested, such information will be either formally or informally communicated to all parties.

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

- 1. Plaintiff objects to any Interrogatories that seeks the disclosure of information protected by the attorney/client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. The inadvertent disclosure of privileged material shall not constitute a waiver of the applicable privilege.
- 2. Plaintiff objects to any Interrogatories to the extent they call for information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disclosure of admissible evidence, or otherwise beyond the scope of the applicable Rules.
- 3. This Answer is made subject to inadvertent or undiscovered errors and are based upon and therefore limited by records and information still in existence, presently recollected and thus far discovered and reviewed in the course of preparing these responses. Discovery is ongoing at this time. Plaintiffs reserve the right to make any changes to the Answer if it appears that inadvertent erroneous inclusions, omissions or other errors have been made or additional or more accurate information becomes available including information from ongoing discovery.
- 4. Plaintiff objects to any Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information not discoverable under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 5. Plaintiff objects to any Interrogatory to the extent it is irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 6. Plaintiff objects to Defendant's instructions to the extent they purport to create definitions or instructions greater than that provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 7. An objection on the ground that a particular Interrogatory is "vague and ambiguous" is an objection that the Interrogatory is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible, and indefinite.
- 8. Each of the forgoing objections and responses applies to each individual Answer and is incorporated in the Plaintiff's Answer to each request as if fully set forth therein.

Without waiver of these objections, Plaintiff answers as set forth below.

#### **INTERROGATORIES**

1. Identify all members of MSI since October 1, 2013, and their primary residential addresses.

Response No. 1: Objection. Irrelevant. Overly broad and unduly burdensome. Without waiving said objection, there are currently over 800 members. In addition to the objections above, MSI declines to produce the list for reasons of privacy, confidentiality and potential retaliation. Having so stated, MSI identifies the following members whose circumstances MSI relies upon in part to establish standing and other elements of its case:

1.	Deborah "Debbie" Kay Miller 297 Aston Forest Lane Crownsville, MD 21032	Deterred by all HQL requirements, inconvenience, and health concerns of undergoing training.	Ms. Miller is a 56-year-old female with full-time employment at the DOD as a customer service representative. Ms. Miller possesses a physical disability card due to injuries associated with her back and feet. At work, she has disability consideration in that a special chair is provided for her. Ms. Miller does not currently own any guns, but does occasionally visit a shooting range.
2.	John Matthew Clark 1240 Arnold Road Westminster, MD 21157	Deterred by the cost of the HQL itself, training, the cost of 3rd-party fingerprinting, and the hassle of finding an "approved" fingerprint service provider.	Mr. Clark works as a computer/web server technician and is 47 years old. He would have to take a day off of work and use vacation time to have fingerprinting done. Mr. Clark reported that his county sheriff refuses to cooperate with HQL applicants.
3.	Dana Hoffman 7051 Carroll Ave, Apt. 915 Takoma Park, MD 2091	Deterred by the HQL requirements, especially the live fire and training requirements	Ms. Hoffman is 74 years old and is confined to a wheelchair. She has a medical condition that makes it impossible for her to obtain training, including the MSP live fire requirement. Ms Hoffman does not own any guns but wishes to purchase a handgun for self-defense in her home.
4.	Scott Miller 1004 Murdoch Ct Crofton, MD 21114	Deterred by cost and time requirement	Mr. Miller as a 27-year-old Emergency Medical Technician. Mr. Miller's ambulance was recently caught in a firefight in D.C., and was hit by 7 bullet rounds. Although he could not carry while on the job, Mr. Miller still wants to protect himself. Mr. Miller currently does not own any handguns or have any permit, as his lifelong residency in Maryland has effectively discouraged any such acquisitions.

See also Response 3. As additional members with potential relevant circumstances are identified by MSI, they will be disclosed by way of supplementation of this response.

2. Identify all MSI members who have obtained a Maryland Handgun Qualification License ("HQL").

<u>Response No. 2</u>: Objection, irrelevant. Without waiver, MSI further states that MSI does not track such information for its members. MSI has numerous members who do not have an HQL, including the persons identified in the response to Question 1.

3. Identify all members of MSI who have been a Qualified Handgun Instructor, as defined in § 5-101(q) of the Public Safety Article of the Maryland Code, at any time since October 1, 2013, and their primary residential addresses.

<u>Response No. 3</u>: Objection, irrelevant. Without waiver, MSI further states that MSI does not track such information for its members, but states further that most of the officers and members of the MSI Board of Directors are Qualified Handgun Instructors, including:

Mark W. Pennak, 7416 Ridgewood Ave., Chevy Chase, MD 20815 President, MSI

John Mountjoy 23202 Pembrook Drive Hollywood, MD 20636 Vice President, MSI

Brook Powers 3501 Ward Lane Frederick, MD 21704 Chairman of the Board, MSI

Gregory Gambill 3010 Parktowne Rd. Parkville, MD 21234 Treasurer/Secretary, MSI

Daniel Carlin-Webber 2011 Frames Rd Dundalk, MD 21222 Board Member, MSI

Brian Bissett 7088 Water Oak Road Elkridge MD 21075-6525 Board Member, MSI

Michael Burke PO Box 23111 Baltimore MD 21203 Board Member, MSI

Paul Sill 6515 Dundee Drive Unit 236 Eldersburg, MD 21784 12. Identify and describe all communications you had with anyone, other than your attorneys, concerning efforts to locate and identify individuals to serve as plaintiffs in this lawsuit, including in your answer the parties to each communication and the date and time of each communication.

**Response No. 12:** Objection. Irrelevant. Further, this question, when posed by the government, impermissibly infringes on the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

13. Identify and describe all communications you had with anyone, other than your attorneys, concerning the factual basis for the matters alleged in the Complaint, including in your answer the parties to each communication, the subject matter of each communication and the date and time of each communication.

Response No. 13: Objection. Irrelevant, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Without waiving said objection, MSI communicates with its members, the public, legislators and others regarding the referenced matters on a routine basis. As part of its mission, the organization exists to communicate regarding these issues. See, e.g., www.marylandshallissue.org.

It would be impossible to identify and describe every such communication and the date and time of each. Without waiving said objections, see documents produced.

I SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND AFFIRM UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING INFORMATION IS TRUE BASED ON MY PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

Maryland Shall Issue, Inc.

By:

Mark W. Pennak, Esquire,

Want W. Venned

MSI President.

Dated: January 26, 2018

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

HANSEL LAW, P.C.

Cary 8. Hansel

2514 North Charles Street

Baltimore, MD 21218

(301) 461-1040

(443) 451-8606

Cary@hansellaw.com

Counsel for the Plaintiff

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY certify that on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of January 2018, a copy of the foregoing Answers to Interrogatories to was mailed, by first class mail, postage prepaid, to:

Jennifer L. Katz, Esq. Robert A. Scott, Esq. Office of the Attorney General 200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 Counsel for Defendants

John P. Sweeney, Esq.
T. Sky Woodward, Esq.
Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP
1615 L Street NW, Suite 1350
Washington, DC 20036
Counsel for Plaintiff Atlantic Guns, Inc.

8